XTO ENERGY INC 52260 Wik Road Kenai, Alaska 99611

NPDES - Discharge Monitoring Report

Facility
Platform A

Permit ID AKG-31-5012

Month Jun-12

Attachments

◆ 1st Period 2012 WET Test Reports & Annual Re-Screen

TEST IDENTIFICATION

Test No.: 663-129

Title: Inland silverside, Menidia beryllina, chronic toxicity test using static renewal exposure to XTO Energy

Platform A water flood sample.

Protocol No.: NAS-XXX-MB2, September 15, 1990, Revision 2 (2-8-08). Based on U.S. EPA, 2002. Method 1006.0, Inland Silverside, *Menidia beryllina*, larval survival and growth test, pp. 155-213. In: Short-term methods for estimating the chronic toxicity of effluents and receiving waters to marine and estuarine organisms. EPA-821-R-02-014.

STUDY MANAGEMENT

Study Sponsor: XTO Energy, 52260 Wik Rd., Kenai, AK 99611.

Sponsor's Study Monitor: Mr. Ryan Tunseth

Testing Laboratory: Northwestern Aquatic Sciences, P.O. Box 1437, Newport, OR 97365.

Test Location: Newport Laboratory.

Laboratory's Study Personnel: G.A. Buhler, B.S., Proj. Mgr./Study Dir.; L.K. Nemeth, B.A., M.B.A., QA Officer, M.S. Redmond, M.S., Aq. Toxicol.; G.J. Irissarri, B.S., Aq. Toxicol.; L.P. Sandoval, B.S., Tech.; Y. Nakahama, Sr. Tech.

Study Schedule:

Test Beginning: 5-1-12, 1120 hrs.

Test Ending: 5-8-12, 1025 hrs.

<u>Disposition of Study Records</u>: All raw data, reports and other study records are stored at Northwestern Aquatic Sciences, 3814 Yaquina Bay Rd., Newport, OR 97365.

Statement of Quality Assurance: The test data were reviewed by the Quality Assurance Unit to assure that the study was performed in accordance with the protocol and standard operating procedures. This report is an accurate reflection of the raw data.

TEST MATERIAL

Description: XTO Energy Platform A water flood sample. Details follow:

NAS Sample No.	4068G	4073G	4078G
Collection Date	4-30-12	5-2-12	5-4-12
Receipt Date	5-1-12	5-3-12	5-5-12
Temperature (°C)	2.3	2.2	1.7
pH	8.1	8.0	7.9
Dissolved oxygen (mg/L)	10.1	10.7	3.8
Salinity (‰)	28.0	29.0	29.0

<u>Treatments</u>: Samples were briefly temperature equilibrated prior to use.

Storage: Stored at 4°C in the dark until used.

DILUTION WATER

Source: Yaquina Bay, Oregon seawater

Date of Collection: 4-29-12

Water Quality: Salinity, 30.0 ‰; pH 8.2

Pretreatment: Filtered to ≤0.45 µm, salinity adjusted with Milli-Q water and aerated.

TEST ORGANISMS

Species: Menidia beryllina, inland silverside

Age: 11 days post hatch

Source: Aquatic Indicators Inc., St. Augustine, Florida.

Acclimation: Fish were received at the laboratory four days before testing. During acclimation, silverside larvae were fed Artemia nauplii daily and 50% of the holding water was changed daily. The mean of holding conditions,

including receiving water, prior to testing averaged: temperature, 23.5 ± 0.8°C; pH, 7.6 ± 0.3; salinity, 25.8 ± 2.8 %; and dissolved oxygen, 7.5 ± 4.2 mg/L.

TEST PROCEDURES AND CONDITIONS

Test Chambers: 1,000 ml glass beakers containing 500 ml of test solution Test Concentrations: 0.16, 0.08, 0.04, 0.02, 0.01 and 0 % (control).

Replicates/Treatment: 4 Organisms/Treatment: 40 Loading: 0.037 g/L. Aeration: None.

Feeding: Approximately 0.1 g newly hatched Artemia nauplii per beaker twice daily, except on day 7.

Water Volume Changes: once daily

Acceptance Criterion: Results are valid if mean control survival is at least 80%, and the average dry weight of control larvae at test termination is at least 0.50 mg (based on number of surviving fish, where fish are 7 days old at test initiation).

Effects Criteria The effect criteria used were: 1) mortality, and 2) growth inhibition. Mortality was defined as lack of visible movement during a 30 second observation period. Growth inhibition was measured as the difference in weight of fish between a treatment level and the control.

Water Quality and Other Test Conditions: Temperature, 24.9 ± 0.2°C; pH, 8.0 ± 0.1; salinity, 30.1 ± 0.2 %: dissolved oxygen, 6.0 ± 0.6 mg/L and photoperiod 16:8 hr, L:D.

DATA ANALYSIS METHODS

Percent survival and the average weight per larva were calculated for each treatment replicate from the raw data and the means were obtained for each treatment level. Average weights were calculated based on the initial number of fish. The LC50 (survival) was calculated, where data permitted, either by the Maximum-Likelihood Probit or the Trimmed Spearman-Karber method. The IC25 (growth) was calculated using the Linear Interpolation Method with bootstrapping. NOEC and LOEC values for survival and growth were computed using ANOVA and an appropriate post hoc test (Dunnett's test, T-Test with Bonferroni's adjustment, Steels Many-One Rank Test, or Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test with Bonferroni Adjustment). The appropriate test was selected after evaluating the data for normality and homogeneity of variance. An arcsine square root (angular) transformation was performed on the survival data prior to statistical analysis. The statistical software employed for these calculations was CETIS, v.1.7.0revW, Tidepool Scientific Software. Toxic units (TUe) were computed as 100/NOEC, 100/LOEC, 100/LC50, or 100/IC25.

PROTOCOL DEVIATIONS

None.

REFERENCE TOXICANT TEST

The routine reference toxicant test is a standard multi-concentration toxicity test using copper sulfate to evaluate the performance of the test organisms used in the effluent toxicity test. The performance is evaluated by comparing the results of this test with historical results obtained at the laboratory. A summary of the reference toxicant test result is given below. The reference toxicant test raw data are found in Appendix III.

Test No.: 999-3034

Reference Toxicant and Source: Copper as CuSO4.5H2O, Argent Lot No. 0195, 1.0 mg/mL stock prepared 6-28-10.

Test Date: 5-1-12

Dilution Water Used: Yaquina Bay, Oregon, seawater; salinity 12.0 % and pH 8.0

Results: LC50, 22.7 µg/L; NOEC, 15 µg/L; IC25, 18.0 µg/L. These results are within the laboratory's control

chart warning limits (LC50, 8.36 – 38.0 µg Cu/L; IC25, 7.58 – 28.4 µg Cu/L).

TEST RESULTS

A detailed tabulation of the test results is given in Table 1. The biological effects, given as the NOEC and LOEC for survival and growth, and the LC50/IC25 for survival/growth, are shown below.

Survival			
NOEC (%)	0.16	$(TU_c = 625)$	
LOEC (%)	>0.16	(TU _c <625)	
LC50 (%)	>0.16	(TU _c <625)	
(95% C.I.)		-	
Method of Calculation	Data Inspection		
Growth			
NOEC (%)	0.16	$(TU_c = 625)$	
LOEC (%)	>0.16	(TU _c <625)	
IC25 (%)	>0.16	(TU _c <625)	
(95% C.l.)			
Method of Calculation	Linear Interpolation		

DISCUSSION/CONCLUSIONS

Comments: Seven-day control survival (92.5%) and mean control weight (1.98 mg based on number of surviving fish) met the test acceptability criteria of \geq 80% and \geq 0.50 mg, respectively. The reference toxicant test results were within control chart limits. Therefore, this toxicity test is considered a valid test.

Table 1. Survival and growth of inland silverside, Menidia beryllina, larvae exposed for seven days to XTO Energy

Platform A water flood sample.

Effluent Concentration		Nur	nber of la	TVRE	%	Mean %	Average wt./larva	Mean wt.
(%)	Replicate	Exposed	Dead	Surviving	Survival	Survival *	(mg)	(mg) *
0.16	1	10	2	8	80.0	···· ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2.312	(***-67
		10	2	8	80.0		2.298	
	2 3	10	2	8	80.0		2.598	
	4	10	1	9	90.0	82.5	2.505	2.428
0.08	1	10	1	9	90.0		2.614	
		10	0	10	100		2.566	
	2 3	10	1	9	90.0		2.443	
	4	10	0	10	100	95.0	2.596	2.555
0.04	1	10	0	10	100		1.912	
	2	10	0	10	100		2.380	
	2 3	10	0	10	100		2.830	
	4	10	0	10	100	100	2.724	2.462
0.02	1	10	1	9	90.0		2.016	
	2 3	10	1	9	90.0		2.051	
		10	1	9	90.0		1.933	
	4	10	0	10	100	92.5	1.980	1.995
0.01	1	10	1	9	90.0		2.025	
	2 3	10	2	8	80.0		1.824	
		10	0	10	100		2.437	
	4	10	0	10	100	92.5	2.058	2.086
0	1	10	0	10	100		1.916	
control	2	10	2	8	80.0		1.870	
	3	10	1	9	90.0		1.841	
	4	10	0	10	100	92.5	1.702	1.832

An asterisk next to a treatment mean indicates that it is significantly (P<0.05) less than the control mean.

TEST IDENTIFICATION

Test No.: 663-139

<u>Title</u>: Echinoderm sperm-fertilization test using static exposure to XTO Energy Platform A water flood sample. <u>Protocol No.</u>: NAS-XXX-SP/DE2, August 10, 1990 (Revision 3, 10-24-02). Based on: Method 1008.0, Sea Urchin, Strongylocentrotus purpuratus, and Sand Dollar, Dendraster excentricus, fertilization test, pp. 389-465, <u>In</u>: Short term methods for estimating the chronic toxicity of effluents and receiving waters to west coast marine and estuarine organisms, EPA/600/R-95/136.

STUDY MANAGEMENT

Study Sponsor: XTO Energy, 52260 Wik Rd., Kenai, AK 99611

Sponsor's Study Monitor: Mr. Ryan Tunseth

Testing Laboratory: Northwestern Aquatic Sciences, P.O. Box 1437, Newport, OR 97365.

Test Location: Newport laboratory

Laboratory's Study Personnel: G.A. Buhler, B.S., Proj. Mgr./Study Dir.; L.K. Nemeth, B.A., M.B.A., QA Officer, M.S. Redmond, M.S., Aq. Toxicol.; L.P. Sandoval, B.S., Tech.; Y. Nakahama, Sr. Tech.

Study Schedule:

Test Beginning: 5-3-12, 1500 hrs. Test Ending: 5-3-12, 1540 hrs.

<u>Disposition of Study Records</u>: All raw data, reports and other study records are stored at Northwestern Aquatic Sciences, 3814 Yaquina Bay Rd., Newport, OR 97365.

Statement of Quality Assurance: The test data were reviewed by the Quality Assurance Unit to assure that the study was performed in accordance with the protocol and standard operating procedures. This report is an accurate reflection of the raw data.

TEST MATERIAL

<u>Description</u>: XTO Energy Platform A water flood sample. Details follow:

NAS Sample No.	4073G
Collection Date	5-2-12
Receipt Date	5-3-12
Temperature (°C)	2.2
pH	8.0
Dissolved oxygen (mg/L)	10.7
Salinity (%)	29.0

Treatments: Sample was briefly temperature-equilibrated prior to use.

Storage: Used date of receipt.

DILUTION WATER

Source: Yaquina Bay, OR Date of Collection: 5-2-12

Water Ouality: Salinity: 34.0 %, pH: 8.0

Pretreatment: Filtered to ≤0.45 μm, aerated, salinity adjusted with 100 ppt brine prepared on 3-19-12.

BRINE USED FOR SALINITY CONTROL

None used.

TEST ORGANISMS

<u>Species</u>: Sea urchin (Strongylocentrotus purpuratus).

<u>Age</u>: Sperm were used immediately after seawater activation.

Source: Marinus Scientific, Newport Beach, CA.

Acclimation: Adults were received on 2-1-12 and held in flowing seawater until used for testing. Holding conditions prior to testing averaged: temperature, 11.9 ± 0.9 °C; pH, 8.0 ± 0.1 ; salinity, 26.2 ± 2.7 ppt; and dissolved oxygen, 8.2 ± 0.7 mg/L.

Source of Gametes: 1 female, 2 males

TEST PROCEDURES AND CONDITIONS

Test Chambers: 16 mm x 100 mm unwashed new borosilicate disposable glass test tubes containing 5 ml of test solution.

Test Concentrations: 0.16, 0.08, 0.04, 0.02, 0.01 and 0% (control).

Brine Control: None used
Replicates/Treatment: 4
Eggs per Test Container: 1000
Sperm; Egg Ratio: 100: J

Sperm Exposure Time: 20 minutes Time for Fertilization: 20 minutes

Volume of Subsamples Taken for Counting: 1 ml Water Volume Changes: None (non-renewal static test).

Aeration: None Feeding: None

Effects Criteria: The effect criterion was absence of fertilization as indicated by lack of a fertilization membrane in the preserved eggs.

Water Quality and Other Test Conditions: Temperature, 11.8°C; pH, 8.0 ± 0.0 ; salinity, 33.9 ± 0.2 %; and dissolved oxygen, 8.5 ± 0.1 mg/L. Photoperiod: NA

DATA ANALYSIS METHODS

The proportion of fertilized eggs was calculated for each treatment replicate from the raw data and the means were obtained for each treatment level. The latter were then corrected for control response using Abbott's formula. The EC50 was calculated, where data permitted, using either the Maximum-Likelihood Probit or the Trimmed Spearman-Karber methods. An IC25 was calculated by linear interpolation with bootstrapping. NOEC and LOEC values were computed using either Dunnett's test, T-test with Bonferroni's adjustment, Steel's Many-One Rank Test, or Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test with Bonferroni Adjustment. The appropriate test was selected after evaluating the data for normality and homogeneity of variance. An arcsine square root (angular) transformation was performed on the data prior to statistical analysis. The statistical software employed for these calculations was CETIS, v.1.7.0revW, Tidepool Scientific Software. Toxic units (TU_c) were computed as 100/NOEC, 100/EC50, or 100/IC25.

PROTOCOL DEVIATIONS

None.

REFERENCE TOXICANT TEST

The routine reference toxicant test is a standard multi-concentration toxicity test using sodium azide to evaluate the performance of the test organisms used in the effluent toxicity test. The performance is evaluated by comparing the results of this test with historical results obtained at the laboratory. A summary of the reference toxicant test result is given below. The reference toxicant test raw data are found in Appendix III.

Test No.: 999-3025

Reference Toxicant and Source: Sodium azide (Sigma Lot No. 68F-0834), 1.0 mg/mL stock prepared on 5-3-12.

Test Date: 5-3-12

Dilution Water Used: Yaquina Bay, OR, Salinity 34.0 ‰, pH 8.0.

Results: EC50, 191 mg/L; NOEC, 47 mg/L; and IC25, 148

.0 mg/L. The EC50 result is slightly above the laboratory's control chart warning limits (EC50, 83.2 - 189 mg/L), but is within the control chart upper action limit (216 mg/L). Control limits of \pm 2 SD will be exceeded 5% of the time by chance alone, and there was no evidence that these organisms were unusual in any way.

TEST RESULTS

A detailed tabulation of the test results is given in Table 1. The biological effects, given as the NOEC, LOEC, and EC50 and IC25 for inhibition of fertilization are shown below.

NOEC (%)	$0.16 (TU_c = 625)$
LOEC (%)	>0.16 (TU _e < 625)
EC50 (%)	>0.16 (TU _c < 625)
(95% C.l.)	
Method of Calculation	By Data Inspection
IC25 (%)	>0.16 (TU _c < 625)
(95% C.I.)	
Method of Calculation	Linear Interpolation

DISCUSSION/CONCLUSIONS

The NOEC in this study was 0.16 % effluent, and the EC50 and IC25 for fertilization were both >0.16 %. The reference toxicant test results exceeded the control chart limits for the EC50. The EC50 was 191 mg/L, which is slightly above the upper warning limit of 189 mg/L, but within the upper action limit of 216 mg/L. No other problems could be found with the test organisms or the testing procedure.

Table 2 shows the results of the egg-effluent control and egg-control tests. No fertilization response was observed in the egg only, no-sperm control or in the egg-effluent, no-sperm control.

Table 1. Fertilization response of Sea urchin, Strongylocentrotus purpuratus, sperm exposed to XTO Energy Platform A water flood sample.

		Eggs Counted		Proportion	Fertilized
Effluent Conc. (%)	Replicate	Fertilized	Unfertilized		Mean**
0.16	1	98	2	0.980	
	2	97	3	0.970	
	3	100	0	1.000	
	4	100	0	1.000	0.988
0.08	1	97	3	0.970	
	2	98	2	0.980	
	3	100	0	1.000	
	3 4	98	2	0.980	0.983
0.04	1	98	2	0.980	
	2 3	99	1	0.990	
	3	99	!	0.990	
	4	100	0	1.000	0.990
0.02	i	99	1	0.990	
	2	98	2	0.980	
	2 3 4	9 9	1	0.990	
	4	98	2	0.980	0.985
0.01	1	99	1	0.990	
	2 3	96	4	0.960	
		97	3	0.970	
	4	99	1	0.990	0.978
Control	1	85	15	0.850	
	2	98	2	0.980	
	3	97	3	0.970	
	. 4	99	1	0.990	0.948

^{**} Treatment mean significantly (P<0.05) different from the control mean

Table 2. Response of egg-effluent controls (no sperm) and egg-controls (no sperm, dilution water only).

		Eggs	Counted	Proportion	Fertilized
Description	Replicate	Fertilized	Unfertilized		Mean
Egg-effluent control	1	0	100	0.000	
	2	0	100	0.000	
	3	0	100	0.000	
	4	0	100	0.000	0.000
Egg control	1	0	100	0.000	
	2	0	100	0.000	
	3	0	100	0.000	
	4	0	100	0.000	0.000

TEST IDENTIFICATION

Test No.: 663-144

Title: Mussel (Mytilus galloprovincialis) larval test using static 48-hr exposure to XTO Energy - Platform A -

water flood.

<u>Protocol No.</u>: NAS-XXX-CG/MG2, August 28, 1990, Revision 3 (9-8-01). This protocol complies with the U.S. EPA West Coast chronic toxicity manual (EPA/600/R-95/136) and ASTM bivalve toxicity method (E 724-89).

STUDY MANAGEMENT

Study Sponsor: XTO Energy, 52260 Wik Rd, Kenai, AK 99611

Sponsor's Study Monitor: Mr. Ryan Tunseth

Testing Laboratory: Northwestern Aquatic Sciences, P.O. Box 1437, Newport, OR 97365.

Test Location: Newport laboratory.

Laboratory's Study Personnel: G.A. Buhler, B.S., Proj. Man.; G.J. Irissarri, B.S., Study Dir.; L.K. Nemeth, B.A., M.B.A., QA Officer, R.S. Caldwell, PhD, Sr. Aq. Toxicologist; M.S. Redmond, M.S., Aq. Toxicol.; Y. Nakahama, Sr. Tech.

Study Schedule:

Test Beginning: 5-5-12, 1320 hrs. Test Ending: 5-7-12, 1430 hrs.

<u>Disposition of Study Records</u>: All raw data, reports and other study records are stored at Northwestern Aquatic Sciences, 3814 Yaquina Bay Rd., Newport, OR 97365.

Statement of Quality Assurance: The test data were reviewed by the Quality Assurance Unit to assure that the study was performed in accordance with the protocol and standard operating procedures. This report is an accurate reflection of the raw data.

TEST MATERIAL

Description: XTO Energy - Platform A - water flood. Details are as follows:

NAS Sample No.	4078G
Collection Date	5-4-12
Receipt Date	5-5-12
Temperature (°C)	1.7
рН	7.9
Dissolved oxygen (mg/L)	3.8
Salinity (%)	29.0

Treatments: Sample was briefly temperature-equilibrated prior to use.

Storage: Used date of receipt.

DILUTION WATER

Source: Yaquina Bay, Oregon. Date of Collection: 5-4-12

Water Quality: Salinity, 30.5 %; pH, 8.1

Pretreatment: Filtered to ≤0.45 μm, aerated, and salinity adjusted with Milli-Q water.

BRINE USED FOR SALINITY CONTROL

None Used

TEST ORGANISMS

Species: Mussel (Mytilus galloprovincialis).

Age: 1.7 hours post-fertilization.

Source: Carlsbad Aquafarm, Carlsbad, CA.

Conditioning: Adult mussels were received on 5-5-12 and used directly from shipping container.

Source of Gametes: 4 females and 2 males.

TEST PROCEDURES AND CONDITIONS

Test Chambers: 30 ml borosilicate glass vials containing 10 ml of test solutions.

Test Concentrations: 0.16, 0.08, 0.04, 0.02, 0.01, and 0% (Control).

Brine Control: None used Replicates/Treatment: 4

Initial Concentration of Test Organisms: 20.3/ml. Volume of Subsamples Taken for Counting: NA

Water Volume Changes per 24 hr: None (non-renewal static test).

Aeration: None Feeding: None

Effects Criteria: The effect criteria used were: 1) ability of embryos to survive and produce completely developed shells; and 2) survival. Data collected were: 1) the initial embryo density; 2) the number of abnormal larvae observed; and 3) the number of normal (live with completely developed shells) larvae observed.

Water Quality and Other Test Conditions: Temperature, 15.8 ± 0.1 °C; pH, 8.1 ± 0.1 ; salinity, 30.0 ± 0.3 %; and dissolved oxygen, 8.1 ± 0.0 mg/L. Photoperiod 16:8 hr, L:D.

DATA ANALYSIS METHODS

The proportion of surviving larvae, and the proportion of normal surviving larvae were calculated for each treatment replicate. The calculation used for the proportion of normal surviving larvae, Combined Proportion Normal, was the combined endpoint specified by EPA/600/R-95/136. The means were obtained for each treatment level and the latter were then corrected for control response using Abbott's formula. The LC50 (survival) and the EC50 (normality) were calculated, where data permitted, using either the Maximum-Likelihood Probit or the Trimmed Spearman-Karber methods. An IC25 was determined by linear interpolation with bootstrapping. NOEC and LOEC values for survival and normality were computed using either Dunnett's test, T-test with Bonferroni's adjustment, Steel's Many-One Rank Test, or Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test with Bonferroni Adjustment. The appropriate test was selected after evaluating the data for normality and homogeneity of variance. An arcsine-square root (angular) transformation was performed on the data prior to statistical analysis. The statistical software employed for these calculations was CETIS, v1.7.0revW, Tidepool Scientific Software. Toxic units (TU_e) were computed as 100/NOEC, 100/EC50, or 100/IC25.

PROTOCOL DEVIATIONS

None

REFERENCE TOXICANT TEST

The routine reference toxicant test is a standard multi-concentration toxicity test using copper sulfate to evaluate the performance of the test organisms used in the effluent toxicity test. The performance is evaluated by comparing the results of this test with historical results obtained at the laboratory. A summary of the reference toxicant test result is given below. The reference toxicant test raw data are found in Appendix III.

Test No.: 999-3039

Reference Toxicant and Source: Copper as CuSO₄•5H₂O, Argent Lot No. 0195. Concentrated stock prepared 6-28-10.

Test Date: 5-5-12

Dilution Water Used: Yaquina Bay, OR seawater. Salinity 30.5 ‰, pH 8.1.

Results: EC50, 10.9 μ g/L; NOEC, 8 μ g/L; IC25, 9.41 μ g/L. The EC50 results are within the laboratory's control chart warning limits (9.17 – 12.4 μ g/L).

TEST RESULTS

Detailed tabulations of the test results are given in Table 1. The biological effects, given as the NOEC, LOEC, EC50/LC50 for normality and survival, and IC25 for normality are summarized below.

	Combined Proportion Normal	Survival
NOEC (%)	0.16 (TU _e =625)	0.16 (TU _c =625)
LOEC (%)	>0.16 (TU _e <625)	>0.16 (TU _c <625)
EC50/LC50 (%)	>0.16 (TU _c <625)	>0.16 (TU _c <625)
(95% C.I.)		
Method of Calculation	By Data Inspection	By Data Inspection
IC25 (%)	>0.16 (TU _c <625)	
(95% C.l.)		
Method of Calculation	Linear Interpolation	

DISCUSSION/CONCLUSIONS

The NOEC was 0.16 % effluent, and the EC50 and IC25 for abnormal development were both >0.16 %.

STUDY APPROVAL

Project Manager Date

Study Director Date

Assistant Laboratory Director Date

Quality Assurance Unit

Dat

Table 1. Test response of mussel (Mytilus galloprovincialis) larvae exposed to XTO Energy – Platform A – water flood.

Test Material			-		Prop	bined ortion mal*		ortion ived*
Concentration (%)	Repl.	Norm.	Abn.	Total		Mean		Mean
0.16	I	178	7	185	0.877		0.911	
	2	203	13	216	1.000		1.000	
	3	232	1	233	0.996		1.000	
	4	231	6	237	0.975	0.962	1.000	0.978
0.08	1	193	6	199	0.951		0.980	
	2	178	13	191	0.877		0.941	
	3	185	5	190	0.911		0.936	
	4	213	7	220	0.968	0.927	1.000	0.964
0.04	1	195	3	198	0.961		0.975	
•	2	205	5	210	0.976	•	1.000	
	3	223	7	230	0.970		1.000	
	4	179	8	187	0.882	0.947	0.921	0.974
0.02	1	203	2	205	1.000		1.000	
	2	185	11	196	0.911		0.966	
	3	200	6	206	0.985		1.000	
	4	178	3	181	0.877	0.943	0.892	0.964
0.01	1	170	12	182	0.837		0.897	
	2 3	193	11	204	0.951		1.000	
		220	9	229	0.961		1.000	
	4	176	5	181	0.867	0.904	0.892	0.947
Normal Control	1	210	8	218	0.963		1.000	
	2	173	3	176	0.852		0.867	
	3	197	3	200	0.970		0.985	
	4	171	4	175	0.842	0.907	0.862	0.929

^{*} Based on an average initial count of 203 embryos per 10 ml sample, except that for the case in the combined proportion normal endpoint where number normal>average initial count, number normal is divided by the total count (as per EPA/600/R-95/136).

[†] Result significantly different (P≤0.05) from the control.

TEST IDENTIFICATION

Test No.: 663-130

Title: Inland silverside, Menidia beryllina, chronic toxicity test using static renewal exposure to XTO Energy

Platform A non-contact cooling water sample.

<u>Protocol No.</u>: NAS-XXX-MB2, September 15, 1990, Revision 2 (2-8-08). Based on U.S. EPA, 2002. Method 1006.0, Inland Silverside, *Menidia beryllina*, larval survival and growth test, pp. 155-213. In: Short-term methods for estimating the chronic toxicity of effluents and receiving waters to marine and estuarine organisms. EPA-821-R-02-014.

STUDY MANAGEMENT

Study Sponsor: XTO Energy, 52260 Wik Rd., Kenai, AK 99611.

Sponsor's Study Monitor: Mr. Ryan Tunseth

Testing Laboratory: Northwestern Aquatic Sciences, P.O. Box 1437, Newport, OR 97365.

<u>Test Location</u>: Newport Laboratory.

Laboratory's Study Personnel: G.A. Buhler, B.S., Proj. Mgr./Study Dir.; L.K. Nemeth, B.A., M.B.A., QA Officer, M.S. Redmond, M.S., Aq. Toxicol.; G.J. Irissarri, B.S., Aq. Toxicol.; L.P. Sandoval, B.S., Tech.; Y. Nakahama, Sr. Tech.

Study Schedule:

Test Beginning: 5-1-12, 1210 hrs.

Test Ending: 5-8-12, 1130 hrs.

<u>Disposition of Study Records</u>: All raw data, reports and other study records are stored at Northwestern Aquatic Sciences, 3814 Yaquina Bay Rd., Newport, OR 97365.

Statement of Quality Assurance: The test data were reviewed by the Quality Assurance Unit to assure that the study was performed in accordance with the protocol and standard operating procedures. This report is an accurate reflection of the raw data.

TEST MATERIAL

<u>Description</u>: XTO Energy Platform A non-contact cooling water sample. Details follow:

NAS Sample No.	4069G	4074G	4079G
Collection Date	4-30-12	5-2-12	5-4-12
Receipt Date	5-1-12	5-3-12	5-5-12
Temperature (°C)	2. l	2.0	1.2
pH	8. l	8.0	8.0
Dissolved oxygen (mg/L)	9.5	10.4	9.8
Salinity (%)	29.5	29.0	29.0

<u>Treatments</u>: Samples were briefly temperature equilibrated prior to use.

Storage: Stored at 4°C in the dark until used.

DILUTION WATER

Source: Yaquina Bay, Oregon seawater

Date of Collection: 4-29-12

Water Quality: Salinity, 30.0 %; pH 8.2

Pretreatment: Filtered to ≤0.45 µm, salinity adjusted with Milli-Q water and aerated.

TEST ORGANISMS

Species: Menidia beryllina, inland silverside

Age: 11 days post hatch

Source: Aquatic Indicators Inc., St. Augustine, Florida.

Acclimation: Fish were received at the laboratory four days before testing. During acclimation, silverside larvae were fed Artemia nauplii daily and 50% of the holding water was changed daily. The mean of holding conditions,

including receiving water, prior to testing averaged: temperature, $23.5 \pm 0.8^{\circ}$ C; pH, 7.6 ± 0.3 ; salinity, 25.8 ± 2.8 %; and dissolved oxygen, 7.5 ± 4.2 mg/L.

TEST PROCEDURES AND CONDITIONS

<u>Test Chambers</u>: 1,000 ml glass beakers containing 500 ml of test solution <u>Test Concentrations</u>: 0.16, 0.08, 0.04, 0.02, 0.01 and 0 % (control).

Replicates/Treatment: 4
Organisms/Treatment: 40
Loading: 0.054 g/L
Aeration: None.

Feeding: Approximately 0.1 g newly hatched Artemia nauplii per beaker twice daily, except on day 7.

Water Volume Changes: once daily

Acceptance Criterion: Results are valid if mean control survival is at least 80%, and the average dry weight of control larvae at test termination is at least 0.50 mg (based on number of surviving fish, where fish are 7 days old at test initiation).

<u>Effects Criteria</u> The effect criteria used were: 1) mortality, and 2) growth inhibition. Mortality was defined as lack of visible movement during a 30 second observation period. Growth inhibition was measured as the difference in weight of fish between a treatment level and the control.

Water Quality and Other Test Conditions: Temperature, $24.9 \pm 0.2^{\circ}$ C; pH, 7.9 ± 0.1 ; salinity, $30.0 \pm 0.1 \%$; dissolved oxygen, 6.0 ± 0.6 mg/L and photoperiod 16:8 hr, L:D.

DATA ANALYSIS METHODS

Percent survival and the average weight per larva were calculated for each treatment replicate from the raw data and the means were obtained for each treatment level. Average weights were calculated based on the initial number of fish. The LC50 (survival) was calculated, where data permitted, either by the Maximum-Likelihood Probit or the Trimmed Spearman-Karber method. The IC25 (growth) was calculated using the Linear Interpolation Method with bootstrapping. NOEC and LOEC values for survival and growth were computed using ANOVA and an appropriate post hoc test (Dunnett's test, T-Test with Bonferroni's adjustment, Steels Many-One Rank Test, or Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test with Bonferroni Adjustment). The appropriate test was selected after evaluating the data for normality and homogeneity of variance. An arcsine square root (angular) transformation was performed on the survival data prior to statistical analysis. The statistical software employed for these calculations was CETIS, v.1.7.0revW, Tidepool Scientific Software. Toxic units (TU_c) were computed as 100/NOEC, 100/LOEC, 100/LC50, or 100/IC25.

PROTOCOL DEVIATIONS

None.

REFERENCE TOXICANT TEST

The routine reference toxicant test is a standard multi-concentration toxicity test using copper sulfate to evaluate the performance of the test organisms used in the effluent toxicity test. The performance is evaluated by comparing the results of this test with historical results obtained at the laboratory. A summary of the reference toxicant test result is given below. The reference toxicant test raw data are found in Appendix III.

Test No.: 999-3034

Reference Toxicant and Source: Copper as CuSO₄•5H₂O, Argent Lot No. 0195, 1.0 mg/mL stock prepared 6-28-10.

Test Date: 5-1-12

Dilution Water Used: Yaquina Bay, Oregon, seawater; salinity 12.0 % and pH 8.0

Results: LC50, 22.7 μ g/L; NOEC, 15 μ g/L; IC25, 18.0 μ g/L. These results are within the laboratory's control chart warning limits (LC50, 8.36 – 38.0 μ g Cu/L; IC25, 7.58 – 28.4 μ g Cu/L).

TEST RESULTS

A detailed tabulation of the test results is given in Table 1. The biological effects, given as the NOEC and LOEC for survival and growth, and the LC50/IC25 for survival/growth, are shown below.

<u>Survival</u>			
NOEC (%)	0.16	$(TU_c = 625)$	
LOEC (%)	>0.16	(TU _c <625)	
LC50 (%)	>0.16	(TU _c <625)	
(95% C.I.)		_	
Method of Calculation	Data Inspection		
Growth			
NOEC (%)	0.16	$(TU_c = 625)$	
LOEC (%)	>0.16	(TU _c <625)	
IC25 (%)	>0.16	(TU _c <625)	
(95% C.I.)		_	
Method of Calculation	Linear Interpolation		

DISCUSSION/CONCLUSIONS

<u>Comments</u>: Seven-day control survival (95.0%) and mean control weight (2.84 mg based on number of surviving fish) met the test acceptability criteria of \geq 80% and \geq 0.50 mg, respectively. The reference toxicant test results were within control chart limits. Therefore, this toxicity test is considered a valid test.

Table 1. Survival and growth of inland silverside, Menidia beryllina, larvae exposed for seven days to XTO Energy

Platform A non-contact cooling water sample.

Effluent Concentration		Number of larvae				Mean %	Average wt./larva	Mean wt.	
(%)	Replicate	Exposed	Dead	Surviving	% Survival	Survival *	(mg)	wt. (mg) •	
0.16	1	10	1	9	90.0		2.428	\\	
	2	10	1	9	90.0		2.442		
	2	10	2	8	80.0		2.193		
	4	10	Ō	10	100	90.0	2.827	2.473	
0.08	1	10	0	10	100		2.528		
	2	10	2	8	80.0		2.124		
	2 3	10	0	10	100		2.568		
	4	10	0	10	100	95.0	2.639	2.465	
0.04	1	10	1	9	90.0		2.235		
	2 3	10	0	10	100		2.639		
		10	. 0	10	100		2.829		
	4	10	ì	9	90.0	95.0	2.310	2.503	
0.02	1	10	ı	9	90.0		2.429		
	2 3	10	1	9	90.0		1.972		
		10	0	10	100		2.518		
	4	10	0	10	100	95.0	2.805	2.431	
0.01	1	10	1	9	90.0		2.339		
	2 3	10	1	9	90.0		2.524		
		10	0	10	100		2.728		
	4	10	0	10	100	95.0	3.103	2.674	
0	1	10	0	10	100		2.830		
control	2 3	10	1	9	90.0		2.348		
		10	1	9	90.0		2.755		
	4	10	0	10	100	95.0	2.873	2.702	

An asterisk next to a treatment mean indicates that it is significantly (P<0.05) less than the control mean.

TEST IDENTIFICATION

<u>Test No.</u>: 663-140

Title: Echinoderm sperm-fertilization test using static exposure to XTO Energy Platform A non-contact cooling

<u>Protocol No.</u>: NAS-XXX-SP/DE2, August 10, 1990 (Revision 3, 10-24-02). Based on: Method 1008.0, Sea Urchin, *Strongylocentrotus purpuratus*, and Sand Dollar, *Dendraster excentricus*, fertilization test, pp. 389-465, <u>In</u>: Short term methods for estimating the chronic toxicity of effluents and receiving waters to west coast marine and estuarine organisms, EPA/600/R-95/136.

STUDY MANAGEMENT

Study Sponsor: XTO Energy, 52260 Wik Rd., Kenai, AK 99611

Sponsor's Study Monitor: Mr. Ryan Tunseth

Testing Laboratory: Northwestern Aquatic Sciences, P.O. Box 1437, Newport, OR 97365.

Test Location: Newport laboratory

Laboratory's Study Personnel: G.A. Buhler, B.S., Proj. Mgr./Study Dir.; L.K. Nemeth, B.A., M.B.A., QA Officer;

M.S. Redmond, M.S., Aq. Toxicol.; L.P. Sandoval, B.S., Tech.; Y. Nakahama, Sr. Tech.

Study Schedule:

Test Beginning: 5-3-12, 1500 hrs. Test Ending: 5-3-12, 1540 hrs.

<u>Disposition of Study Records</u>: All raw data, reports and other study records are stored at Northwestern Aquatic Sciences, 3814 Yaquina Bay Rd., Newport, OR 97365.

Statement of Quality Assurance: The test data were reviewed by the Quality Assurance Unit to assure that the study was performed in accordance with the protocol and standard operating procedures. This report is an accurate reflection of the raw data.

TEST MATERIAL

Description: XTO Energy Platform A non-contact cooling water sample. Details follow:

NAS Sample No.	4074G
Collection Date	5-2-12
Receipt Date	5-3-12
Temperature (°C)	2.0
pH	8.0
Dissolved oxygen (mg/L)	10.4
Salinity (%)	29.0

Treatments: Sample was briefly temperature-equilibrated prior to use.

Storage: Used date of receipt.

DILUTION WATER

Source: Yaquina Bay, OR Date of Collection: 5-2-12

Water Quality: Salinity: 34.0 %, pH: 8.0

Pretreatment: Filtered to ≤0.45 μm, aerated, salinity adjusted with 100 ppt brine prepared on 3-19-12.

BRINE USED FOR SALINITY CONTROL

None used.

TEST ORGANISMS

Species: Sea urchin (Strongylocentrotus purpuratus).

Age: Sperm were used immediately after seawater activation.

Source: Marinus Scientific, Newport Beach, CA.

Acclimation: Adults were received on 2-1-12 and held in flowing seawater until used for testing. Holding conditions prior to testing averaged: temperature, 11.9 ± 0.9 °C; pH, 8.0 ± 0.1 ; salinity, 26.2 ± 2.7 ppt; and dissolved oxygen, 8.2 ± 0.7 mg/L.

Source of Gametes: 1 female, 2 males

TEST PROCEDURES AND CONDITIONS

Test Chambers: 16 mm x 100 mm unwashed new borosilicate disposable glass test tubes containing 5 ml of test solution.

Test Concentrations: 0.16, 0.08, 0.04, 0.02, 0.01 and 0% (control).

Brine Control: None used
Replicates/Treatment: 4
Eggs per Test Container: 1000
Sperm:Egg Ratio: 100:1

<u>Sperm Exposure Time</u>: 20 minutes <u>Time for Fertilization</u>: 20 minutes

<u>Volume of Subsamples Taken for Counting</u>: 1 ml <u>Water Volume Changes</u>: None (non-renewal static test).

Aeration: None Feeding: None

Effects Criteria: The effect criterion was absence of fertilization as indicated by lack of a fertilization membrane in the preserved eggs.

Water Quality and Other Test Conditions: Temperature, 11.8° C; pH, 8.0 ± 0.0 ; salinity, 33.8 ± 0.3 %; and dissolved oxygen, 8.4 ± 0.1 mg/L. Photoperiod: NA

DATA ANALYSIS METHODS

The proportion of fertilized eggs was calculated for each treatment replicate from the raw data and the means were obtained for each treatment level. The latter were then corrected for control response using Abbott's formula. The EC50 was calculated, where data permitted, using either the Maximum-Likelihood Probit or the Trimmed Spearman-Karber methods. An IC25 was calculated by linear interpolation with bootstrapping. NOEC and LOEC values were computed using either Dunnett's test, T-test with Bonferroni's adjustment, Steel's Many-One Rank Test, or Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test with Bonferroni Adjustment. The appropriate test was selected after evaluating the data for normality and homogeneity of variance. An arcsine square root (angular) transformation was performed on the data prior to statistical analysis. The statistical software employed for these calculations was CETIS, v.1.7.0revW, Tidepool Scientific Software. Toxic units (TU_c) were computed as 100/NOEC, 100/EC50, or 100/IC25.

PROTOCOL DEVIATIONS

None.

REFERENCE TOXICANT TEST

The routine reference toxicant test is a standard multi-concentration toxicity test using sodium azide to evaluate the performance of the test organisms used in the effluent toxicity test. The performance is evaluated by comparing the results of this test with historical results obtained at the laboratory. A summary of the reference toxicant test result is given below. The reference toxicant test raw data are found in Appendix III.

Test No.: 999-3025

Reference Toxicant and Source: Sodium azide (Sigma Lot No. 68F-0834), 1.0 mg/mL stock prepared on 5-3-12. Test Date: 5-3-12

Dilution Water Used: Yaquina Bay, OR, Salinity 34.0 ‰, pH 8.0.

Results: EC50, 191 mg/L; NOEC, 47 mg/L; and IC25, 148 mg/L. The EC50 result is slightly above the laboratory's control chart warning limits (EC50, 83.2 – 189 mg/L), but is within the control chart upper action limit (216 mg/L). Control limits of ± 2 SD will be exceeded 5% of the time by chance alone, and there was no evidence that these organisms were unusual in any way.

Date

TEST RESULTS

A detailed tabulation of the test results is given in Table 1. The biological effects, given as the NOEC, LOEC, and EC50 and IC25 for inhibition of fertilization are shown below.

NOEC (%)	$0.16 (TU_c = 625)$
LOEC (%)	>0.16 (TU _s < 625)
EC50 (%)	>0.16 (TU _c < 625)
(95% C.I.)	
Method of Calculation	By Data Inspection
IC25 (%)	>0.16 (TU _c < 625)
(95% C.I.)	-
Method of Calculation	Linear Interpolation

DISCUSSION/CONCLUSIONS

The NOEC in this study was 0.16 % effluent, and the EC50 and IC25 for fertilization were both >0.16 %. The reference toxicant test results exceeded the control chart limits for the EC50. The EC50 was 191 mg/L, which is slightly above the upper warning limit of 189 mg/L, but within the upper action limit of 216 mg/L. No other problems could be found with the test organisms or the testing procedure.

Table 2 shows the results of the egg-effluent control and egg-control tests. No fertilization response was observed in the egg only, no-sperm control or in the egg-effluent, no-sperm control.

Table 1. Fertilization response of Sea urchin, Strongylocentrotus purpuratus, sperm exposed to XTO Energy Platform A non-contact cooling water sample.

		Eggs	Counted	Proportion Fertilized	
Effluent Conc. (%)	Replicate	Fertilized	Unfertilized		Mean*
0.16	1	98	2	0.980	
	2	99	1	0.990	
	3	99	i	0.990	
	4	100	0	1.000	0.990
0.08	1	99	1	0.990	
	2	94	6	0.940	
	2 3	100	0	1.000	
	4	100	0	1.000	0.983
0.04	1	100	0	1.000	
	2 3	99	1	0.990	
		99	1	0.990	
	4	100	0	1.000	0.995
0.02	1	97	3	0.970	
	2	98	2	0.980	
	3	9 9	1	0.990	
	4	97	3	0.970	0.978
0.01	1	95	5	0.950	
	2 3	99	1	0.990	
		100	0	1.000	
	4	100	0	1.000	0.985
Control	1	98	2	0.980	
	2	98	2	0.980	
	3	96	4	0.960	
	4	99	11	0.990	0.978

^{••} Treatment mean significantly (P<0.05) different from the control mean

Table 2. Response of egg-effluent controls (no sperm) and egg-controls (no sperm, dilution water only).

	Replicate	Eggs	Counted	Proportion Fertilized		
Description		Fertilized	Unfertilized		Mean	
Egg-effluent control		0	100	0.000		
	2	0	100	0.000		
	3	0	100	0.000		
	4	0	100	0.000	0.000	
Egg control	1	0	100	0.000		
	2	0	100	0.000		
	3	0	100	0.000	•	
	4	0	100	0.000	0.000	

TEST IDENTIFICATION

Test No.: 663-145

Title: Mussel (Mytilus galloprovincialis) larval test using static 48-hr exposure to XTO Energy - Platform A -

non-contact cooling water.

Protocol No.: NAS-XXX-CG/MG2, August 28, 1990, Revision 3 (9-8-01). This protocol complies with the U.S. EPA West Coast chronic toxicity manual (EPA/600/R-95/136) and ASTM bivalve toxicity method (E 724-89).

STUDY MANAGEMENT

Study Sponsor: XTO Energy, 52260 Wik Rd, Kenai, AK 99611

Sponsor's Study Monitor: Mr. Ryan Tunseth

Testing Laboratory: Northwestern Aquatic Sciences, P.O. Box 1437, Newport, OR 97365.

Test Location: Newport laboratory.

Laboratory's Study Personnel: G.A. Buhler, B.S., Proj. Man.; G.J. Irissarri, B.S., Study Dir.; L.K. Nemeth, B.A., M.B.A., QA Officer, R.S. Caldwell, PhD, Sr. Aq. Toxicologist; M.S. Redmond, M.S., Aq. Toxicol.; Y. Nakahama, Sr. Tech.

Study Schedule:

Test Beginning: 5-5-12, 1320 hrs.

Test Ending: 5-7-12, 1430 hrs.

Disposition of Study Records: All raw data, reports and other study records are stored at Northwestern Aquatic Sciences, 3814 Yaquina Bay Rd., Newport, OR 97365.

Statement of Quality Assurance: The test data were reviewed by the Quality Assurance Unit to assure that the study was performed in accordance with the protocol and standard operating procedures. This report is an . accurate reflection of the raw data.

TEST MATERIAL

Description: XTO Energy - Platform A - non-contact cooling water. Details are as follows:

NAS Sample No.	4079G
Collection Date	5-4-12
Receipt Date	5-5-12
Temperature (°C)	1.2
pH	8.0
Dissolved oxygen (mg/L)	9.8
Salinity (%)	29.0

Treatments: Sample was briefly temperature-equilibrated prior to use.

Storage: Used date of receipt.

DILUTION WATER

Source: Yaquina Bay, Oregon. Date of Collection: 5-4-12

Water Quality: Salinity, 30.5 ‰; pH, 8.1

Pretreatment: Filtered to ≤0.45 μm, aerated, and salinity adjusted with Milli-Q water.

BRINE USED FOR SALINITY CONTROL

None Used

TEST ORGANISMS

Species: Mussel (Mytilus galloprovincialis).

Age: 1.7 hours post-fertilization.

Source: Carlsbad Aquafarm, Carlsbad, CA.

Conditioning: Adult mussels were received on 5-5-12 and used directly from shipping container.

Source of Gametes: 4 females and 2 males.

TEST PROCEDURES AND CONDITIONS

Test Chambers: 30 ml borosilicate glass vials containing 10 ml of test solutions.

Test Concentrations: 0.16, 0.08, 0.04, 0.02, 0.01, and 0% (Control).

Brine Control: None used Replicates/Treatment: 4

Initial Concentration of Test Organisms: 20.3/ml. Volume of Subsamples Taken for Counting: NA

Water Volume Changes per 24 hr: None (non-renewal static test).

Aeration: None Feeding: None

Effects Criteria: The effect criteria used were: 1) ability of embryos to survive and produce completely developed shells; and 2) survival. Data collected were: 1) the initial embryo density; 2) the number of abnormal larvae observed; and 3) the number of normal (live with completely developed shells) larvae observed.

Water Quality and Other Test Conditions: Temperature, 15.6 ± 0.1 °C; pH, 8.2 ± 0.0 ; salinity, 30.0 ± 0.0 %; and dissolved oxygen, 8.1 ± 0.1 mg/L. Photoperiod 16:8 hr, L:D.

DATA ANALYSIS METHODS

The proportion of surviving larvae, and the proportion of normal surviving larvae were calculated for each treatment replicate. The calculation used for the proportion of normal surviving larvae, Combined Proportion Normal, was the combined endpoint specified by EPA/600/R-95/136. The means were obtained for each treatment level and the latter were then corrected for control response using Abbott's formula. The LC50 (survival) and the EC50 (normality) were calculated, where data permitted, using either the Maximum-Likelihood Probit or the Trimmed Spearman-Karber methods. An IC25 was determined by linear interpolation with bootstrapping. NOEC and LOEC values for survival and normality were computed using either Dunnett's test, T-test with Bonferroni's adjustment, Steel's Many-One Rank Test, or Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test with Bonferroni Adjustment. The appropriate test was selected after evaluating the data for normality and homogeneity of variance. An arcsine-square root (angular) transformation was performed on the data prior to statistical analysis. The statistical software employed for these calculations was CETIS, v1.7.0revW, Tidepool Scientific Software. Toxic units (TU_e) were computed as 100/NOEC, 100/EC50, or 100/IC25.

PROTOCOL DEVIATIONS

None

REFERENCE TOXICANT TEST

The routine reference toxicant test is a standard multi-concentration toxicity test using copper sulfate to evaluate the performance of the test organisms used in the effluent toxicity test. The performance is evaluated by comparing the results of this test with historical results obtained at the laboratory. A summary of the reference toxicant test result is given below. The reference toxicant test raw data are found in Appendix III.

Test No.: 999-3039

Reference Toxicant and Source: Copper as CuSO₄•5H₂O, Argent Lot No. 0195. Concentrated stock prepared 6-28-10.

Test Date: 5-5-12

Dilution Water Used: Yaquina Bay, OR seawater. Salinity 30.5 %, pH 8.1.

Results: EC50, 10.9 μ g/L; NOEC, 8 μ g/L; IC25, 9.41 μ g/L. The EC50 results are within the laboratory's control chart warning limits (9.17 – 12.4 μ g/L).

TEST RESULTS

Detailed tabulations of the test results are given in Table 1. The biological effects, given as the NOEC, LOEC, EC50/LC50 for normality and survival, and IC25 for normality are summarized below.

	Combined Proportion Normal	Survival
NOEC (%)	0.16 (TU _e =625)	0.16 (TU _c =625)
LOEC (%)	>0.16 (TU _c <625)	>0.16 (TU ₆ <625)
EC50/LC50 (%) (95% C.I.)	>0.16 (TU _e <625)	>0.16 (TU _e <625)
Method of Calculation	By Data Inspection	By Data Inspection
1C25 (%)	>0.16 (TU _c <625)	
(95% C.1.)		
Method of Calculation	Linear Interpolation	

DISCUSSION/CONCLUSIONS

The NOEC was 0.16 % effluent, and the EC50 and IC25 for abnormal development were both >0.16 %.

Table 1. Test response of mussel (Mytilus galloprovincialis) larvae exposed to XTO Energy – Platform A – non-contact cooling water.

Test Material					Prop	bined ortion mal*		ortion ived*
Concentration (%)	Repl.	Norm.	Abn.	Total	Mean		Mean	
0.16	1	180	9	189	0.887		0.931	
	2	199	5	204	0.980		1.000	
	3	195	5	200	0.961		0.985	
	4	173	3	176	0.852	0.920	0.867	0.946
0.08	1	194	5	199	0.956		0.980	
	2	185	6	191	0.911		0.941	
	3	211	10	221	0.955		1.000	
	4	186	7	193	0.916	0.935	0.951	0.968
0.04	1	205	11	216	0.949		1.000	
	2 3	209	13	222	0.941		1.000	
		202	4	206	0.995		1.000	
	4	200	3	203	0.985	0.968	1.000	1.000
0.02	1	205	4	209	0.981		1.000	
	2 3	189	3	192	0.931		0.946	
		205	5	210	0.976		1.000	
	4	187	10	197	0.921	0.952	0.970	0.979
0.01	1	158	10	168	0.778		0.828	
	2 3	209	12	221	0.946		1.000	
	3	209	5	214	0.977		1.000	
	4	184	11	195	0.906	0.902	0.961	0.947
Normal Control	1	204	5	209	0.976		1.000	
	2	190	10	200	0.936		0.985	
	3	192	6	198	0.946		0.975	
	4	199	7	206	0.980	0.960	1.000	0.990

[•] Based on an average initial count of 203 embryos per 10 ml sample, except that for the case in the combined proportion normal endpoint where number normal>average initial count, number normal is divided by the total count (as per EPA/600/R-95/136).

[†] Result significantly different (P≤0.05) from the control.